Transfer Between Circular Orbits

D. F. LAWDEN¹

Canterbury University College, Christchurch, New Zealand

isolution is given to the problem of transferring a rocket and a circular orbit about one planet into another about second planet with minimum expenditure of fuel. The inetary orbits are assumed to be coplanar and the longides of the planets in their orbits at the instants of desture and arrival of the rocket are supposed to be specid. The case of transfer between the Earth and Mars uken as a numerical example of the general theory.

1 The Problem

METHOD of calculating the orbit along which a rocket may be transferred from a circular orbit about one met into another about a second planet with a minimum expenditure has been described in another paper (1).2 addition to specifying the optimal trajectory of transfer, emethod also determines the most favorable positions of planets in their orbits about the Sun at the instant of carture. It may be necessary to delay departure for some asiderable time until these favorable positions are attained both planets. If, however, such a delay is not acceptthe problem arises of calculating the most satisfactory de of transfer when the two planets are in given relative itions on the chosen date of departure. This is the probwe shall solve in this paper.

Solution to the Problem

We shall restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional problem transfer between two planets moving in coplanar elliptical hits about the Sun. Ox, Oy are fixed rectangular Cartesian in the plane of the orbits. The method may be generalel to deal with the realistic three-dimensional problem by producing into the argument a third axis Oz. However, the neiples upon which the solution to this more complex blem is based will be identical in character with those sh lead to a solution of the more elementary one, and no antage will be gained, therefore, at this stage, by further plicating the analysis in order to achieve complete genty. Again, absolute accuracy could only be achieved taking into account the perturbations caused in the dets' motions by their mutual attraction and the attracof other bodies of the solar system, but the saving in which would result would be negligible by comparison the inevitable losses which will have to be accepted on count of our inability to navigate the rocket along any scribed track without error. The most satisfactory proare will always be to disregard all such small effects when imputing an optimal track and then to allow for these, and ther errors of navigation, during passage, by small correcal thrusts from the motor applied at various check points og the transfer orbit. A method of computing such cortions has been outlined in (2).

As in (1), we shall suppose that the rocket escapes from its war orbit about the planet of departure by means of an impulsive thrust from its motor directed along the tangent to this orbit. It then recedes along a hyperbolic trajectory, merging imperceptibly with the elliptical orbit of transfer in which it moves under the influence of the Sun alone. Coming under the influence of the planet of arrival, it falls along a hyperbolic track whose apse is at the level of the circular orbit. Upon arrival at the apse, it is transferred into the circular orbit by means of a second impulsive thrust in a direction opposing its motion, i.e., tangential to the circular orbit. Thus, apart from two short periods of thrust, the motor is inoperative.

If (-f, -g) represent the x and y components of the gravitational field intensity acting upon the rocket when at the point (x, y) at time t, both f and g will be functions of the variables (x, y, t). Let x = X(t), y = Y(t) be the equations defining the optimal trajectory of the rocket. Along this trajectory, we define a vector called the primer having components (u, v) satisfying the equations

$$\ddot{u} + u \frac{\partial f}{\partial X} + v \frac{\partial g}{\partial X} = 0$$

$$\ddot{v} + u \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y} + v \frac{\partial g}{\partial Y} = 0$$

It is shown in (1) and (3) that over an absolute optimal track, all motor thrusts must be impulsive in character and, in addition, the following conditions must be satisfied:

(a) $u^2 + v^2 \le 1$ at all points.

(b) (u, v) are the direction cosines of the direction of thrust at each junction at which the motor operates.

(c) (u, v) are continuous over such a junction.

(d) $A = uf + vg + \dot{X}\dot{u} + \dot{Y}\dot{v}$ is continuous across a junc-

(e) A = 0 over that section of the orbit of transfer where

the attraction of the planets is negligible.

The above conditions were obtained on the assumption that we were free to choose the junctions at which impulsive thrusts were to be generated to suit ourselves. In the case under consideration, this is not so, and a reconsideration of the argument of (3) will show that, if the position of any junction is fixed at the outset, condition (c) may be waived at this junction. We shall not, therefore, require this condition to be satisfied at either of the junctions of the present problem.

Over the hyperbolic trajectory of departure from the initial circular orbit, we shall neglect the effect of the Sun's attraction. Let μ_0/r_0^2 be the attraction per unit mass of the planet of departure at a distance r_0 from its center. Let (e_0, l_0) be the eccentricity and semi-latus rectum, respectively, of the hyperbolic orbit. Its polar equation is then

$$\frac{l_0}{r_0} = 1 + e_0 \cos \psi_0.....[2]$$

where ψ_0 is measured from the apse. If (U_0, V_0) are the components of the primer in the directions of the radius vector r_0 and of the perpendicular to it, respectively, it is proved in (4) that the appropriate solution of Equations [1] is

$$U_0 = P_0 \cos \psi_0 + (Q_0 + H_0 I_0) e_0 \sin \psi_0 \dots [3]$$

mperatures

OPULSIOS

nock tube

sure and

liseconds

the driver

nsion fan

e vacuum

h the re-

gas. In

aboratory

e hundred

In addi-

reventing

o that the

e pulse.

hock tube

shows the

formation

tin re

are mige,

ed during

the nitrie

oulse since

high tem-

to equilib-

reaction.

chemical

hock tule

usting the

the shock

ansmitted

reflection

tempera-

zone for a

for acres

ting times

resented at the ARS 25th Anniversary Annual Meeting, v. 14-18, 1955, Chicago, Ill. Professor of Mathematics. Member of the British Inter-

Numbers in parentheses indicate References at end of paper.

$$V_0 = -P_0 \sin \psi_0 + (Q_0 + H_0 I_0) \left(1 + e_0 \cos \psi_0\right) + \frac{R_0 - P_0 \sin \psi_0 + H_0 \cot \psi_0}{1 + e_0 \cos \psi_0}. [4]$$

where P_0 , Q_0 , R_0 , H_0 are dimensionless constants of integration and

$$I_{0} = \frac{1}{2(e_{0} - 1)^{2}} \tan^{-1}/_{2}\psi_{0} - \frac{1}{2(e_{0} + 1)^{2}} \cot^{-1}/_{2}\psi_{0} - \frac{6e_{0}^{2}}{(e_{0}^{2} - 1)^{3/2}} \tanh^{-1} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{e_{0} - 1}{e_{0} + 1}} \tan^{-1}/_{2}\psi_{0} \right) + \frac{e_{0}^{3}}{(e_{0}^{2} - 1)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sin\psi_{0}}{1 + e_{0}\cos\psi_{0}} ...[5]$$

An impulsive thrust is applied at the apse in a direction at right angles to the radius vector. Hence, by condition (b) above, we must have $U_0 = 0$, $V_0 = 1$ at $\psi_0 = 0$. This leads to the conditions

It should be noted that $\psi_0 = 0$ makes the expression for I_0 indeterminate. We must accordingly let $\psi_0 \to 0$ in Equation [5].

Let μ/r^2 be the attraction per unit mass of the Sun at a distance r from its center. Let the polar equation of the transfer trajectory, over which the attractions of the planets are negligible, be

$$\frac{l}{r} = 1 + e \cos \psi \dots [8]$$

where ψ is measured from perihelion. If (U, V) are the components of the primer in directions along and perpendicular to r, respectively, then, as shown in (4), since A=0 (condition (e))

$$V = -P \sin \psi + Q(1 + e \cos \psi) + \frac{R - P \sin \psi}{1 + e \cos \psi} \dots [10]$$

where P, Q, R are constants of integration to be determined later. These equations must be identical with Equations [3] and [4] where the hyperbolic trajectory merges with the transfer orbit. The primer components being solutions of second order differential Equations [1], we can ensure this by equating the values of U_0 , V_0 and their first derivatives obtained from Equations [3] and [4] with the corresponding quantities obtained from Equations [9] and [10].

If ϕ_0 is the angle made by the direction of the asymptote of the hyperbolic trajectory of departure with the perpendicular to the radius vector r drawn in the sense of ψ increasing, it is shown in (1) that the components of the time derivative of the primer vector as computed from Equations [9] and [10] are

$$(S \sin \phi_0 + T \cos \phi_0) \dot{\psi}$$
 along the asymptote....[11]

 $(-S\cos\phi_0 + T\sin\phi_0)\dot{\psi}$ perpendicular to the asymptote...[12] where

$$S = \frac{P \sin \psi - R}{1 + e \cos \psi} - Q.\dots\dots[13]$$

$$T = \frac{Re\sin\psi - Pe - P\cos\psi}{(1 + e\cos\psi)^2} \dots [14]$$

Consider now the form taken by Equations [3] and [4] at a great distance along the asymptote of the hyperbolic orbit.

At such a point, $r_0 \to \infty$ and hence $\cos \psi_0 = -1/e_{\ell \text{ all}}$ $\sin \psi_0 = (e_0^2 - 1)^{1/2}/e_0$. Thus ψ_0 does not vary with t and hence

$$\dot{U}_0 = H_0 \dot{I}_0 e_0 \sin \psi_0 = H_0 (e_0^2 - 1)^{1/2} \dot{I}_0 \dots$$

The first two terms in expression [5] for I_0 are constant and hence make no contribution to \dot{I}_0 . The last two terms become larger however, and hence must be dealt with separately Differentiating these two terms with respect to t, we obtain

Since $\cos \psi_0 = -1/e_0$, and in view of Equation [2], this may be written

But $r_0^2 \psi_0$ is the constant velocity moment of the rocket about the center of attraction and is accordingly equal ψ_0 ($\mu_0 l_0$)^{1/2}. Thus

It now follows that, at a great distance from the planet of departure

$$\dot{U}_0 = H_0 e_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{(e_0^2 - 1)l_0^3}} \dots [19]$$

Now consider Equation [4]. It may be shown that a $\cos \psi \to -1/e_0$, $I_0(1+e_0\cos\psi_0) \to \text{a constant}$. It follows that the only term which makes a nonzero contribution to \dot{V}_0 when r_0 is large is

$$\frac{R_0 - P_0 \sin \psi_0 + H_0 \cot \psi_0}{1 + e_0 \cos \psi_0} \dots [20]$$

Referring to Equation [2], we see that this may be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{l_0} \left(R_0 - P_0 \sin \psi_0 + H_0 \cot \psi_0 \right) r_0 =$$

$$\frac{1}{l_0} \left[R_0 - P_0 \frac{\left(e_0^2 - 1 \right)^{1/2}}{e_0} - H_0 \frac{1}{\left(e_0^2 - 1 \right)^{1/2}} \right] r_0 \dots [21]$$

when $\psi_0 = \cos^{-1}(-1/e_0)$. Differentiating with respect to the time, we obtain

$$\dot{V}_0 = \frac{1}{l_0} \left[R_0 - P_0 \frac{(e_0^2 - 1)^{i/2}}{e_0} - H_0 \frac{1}{(e_0^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \right] \dot{r}_0 \dots [22]$$

But \dot{r}_0 is the velocity of recession from the planet of departure. Hence

$$\dot{r}_0 = \left[\mu_0(e_0^2 - 1)/l_0\right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\dot{V}_0 = \frac{{\mu_0}^{1/2}}{{l_0}^{2/2}} \left[R_0(e_0^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - P_0 \frac{e_0^2 - 1}{e_0} - H_0 \right] \dots [2]$$

Equations [19] and [23] give the components of the time derivative of the primer along and perpendicular to the asymptote of the hyperbolic orbit when the rocket has receded to a great distance from the planet of departure. Equating these quantities with the corresponding components given at [11] and [12], we obtain the equations

$$(S \sin \phi_0 + T \cos \phi_0) \frac{\dot{\psi} l_0^{3/2}}{\mu_0^{1/2}} = \frac{H_0 e_0^2}{(e_0^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \dots [24]$$

$$(-S\cos\phi_0 + T\sin\phi_0)\frac{\dot{\psi}l_0^{3/2}}{{\mu_0}^{1/2}} = R_0(e_0^2 - 1)^{1/2} -$$

$$P_0 \frac{e_0^2 - 1}{e_0} - H_0... |25|$$

JET PROPULSION

But μ_0 , 1/2/L rocket in t and hence locity of the frate Equations

$$\frac{H_0e_0}{(e_0{}^2-$$

Solving thes [6] and [7],

Thus, fro

This implies perpendicular commencem

U =

Identical res thus

 $-P \sin \psi +$

where ψ tal of the orbit of The trans orbit of app

ditions

 $-P \sin \psi' +$

 ψ' being the orbit, ϕ_1 being proach orbit and e_1 being Condition

Condition but yields of which appe circular orb limit the tra fix the new

Eliminati [30] to [33] optimal trai

 $E_0 \sin \phi_0 [(2 + E_1 \sin \phi_0)]$

 $+ [E_0(1$

July 1956

But μ_0 , $\frac{1}{2}/l_0^{3/2} = (e_0 + 1)^{-3/2} \times$ angular velocity of the rocket in the circular orbit about the planet of departure and hence is large by comparison with ψ , the angular velocity of the rocket about the Sun at the commencement of the transfer orbit. We shall accordingly approximate quations [24] and [25] by the equations

and

and

151

and

ely.

tain

[16]

nav

[17]

eket l to

[18]

t of

[19]

OW8

[20]

n in

[21]

t ter

[22]

[21]

ture.

ente

[21]

SION

$$\frac{H_0 e_0{}^2}{\left(e_0{}^2-1\right)^{1/2}}=R_0 (e_0{}^2-1)^{1/2}-P_0\,\frac{e_0{}^2-1}{e_0}\,-\,H_0\,=\,0\,.\,.\,[26]$$

Solving these latter equations in conjunction with Equations [6] and [7], we obtain

$$P_0 = R_0 = H_0 = 0$$
 $Q_0 = \frac{1}{1 + e_0} \dots [27]$

Thus, from Equations [3] and [4], at a great distance from the planet of departure

$$U_0 = \sqrt[4]{\frac{e_0 - 1}{e_0 + 1}} \qquad V_0 = 0..................[28]$$

This implies that the components of the primer, along and perpendicular to the radius vector r from the Sun, at the commencement of the trajectory of transfer are

$$U = \sqrt{\frac{e_0 - 1}{e_0 + 1}} \sin \phi_0 \qquad V = \sqrt{\frac{e_0 - 1}{e_0 + 1}} \cos \phi_0 \dots [29]$$

identical results must be given by Equations [9] and [10] and thus

$$P\cos\psi + Qe\sin\psi = \sqrt[4]{\frac{e_0 - 1}{e_0 + 1}}\sin\phi_0......[30]$$

$$-P\sin\psi + Q(1 + e\cos\psi) + \frac{R - P\sin\psi}{1 + e\cos\psi} =$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{e_0-1}{e_0+1}\cos\phi_0\dots[31]}$$

where ψ takes the value appropriate to the commencement of the orbit of transfer.

The transition from the transfer orbit into the hyperbolic whit of approach is dealt with similarly and yields the conditions

$$P\cos\psi' + Qe\sin\psi' = \sqrt{\frac{e_1 - 1}{e_1 + 1}}\sin\phi_1.....[32]$$

$$P\sin\psi' + Q(1 + e\cos\psi') + \frac{R - P\sin\psi'}{1 + e\cos\psi'} =$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{e_1-1}{e_1+1}}\cos\phi_1..[33]$$

being the value of ψ appropriate to the end of the transfer bit, ϕ_1 being the angle made by the asymptote of the approach orbit with the perpendicular to the radius vector r, and ϵ_1 being the eccentricity of the hyperbola of approach.

Condition (d), above, may be applied at each junction, but yields equations involving the constants of integration which appear when Equations [1] are integrated over the arcular orbits about the planets. Such equations do not whit the trajectory of transfer in any way, but only serve to be the new constants of integration.

Elimination of the quantities P, Q, R between Equations [30] to [33] now yields the condition to be satisfied by the stimal transfer orbit, viz.

$$\sin \phi_0 \left[(2 + e \cos \psi) \cos (\psi - \psi') - (2 + e \cos \psi') \right]$$

$$+ E_1 \sin \phi_1 \left[(2 + e \cos \psi') \cos (\psi - \psi') - (2 + e \cos \psi) \right]$$

$$+ \left[E_0 (1 + e \cos \psi) \cos \phi_0 - E_1 (1 + e \cos \psi') \cos \phi_1 \right] \times$$

$$\sin (\psi' - \psi) = 0 . . [34]$$

where

$$E_0 = \sqrt{\frac{e_0 - 1}{e_0 + 1}}$$
 $E_1 = \sqrt{\frac{e_1 - 1}{e_1 + 1}}$[35]

Let (r_0, r_1) be the respective distances of the planets of departure and arrival from the Sun at the times of departure or arrival of the rocket, and let (θ_0, θ_1) be the respective longitudes of the planets at these instants. If γ is the longitude of perihelion on the transfer orbit, it then follows that at the terminals of the transfer orbit

and hence, from Equation [8], that

$$\frac{l}{r_0} = 1 + e \cos(\theta_0 - \gamma) \dots [37]$$

$$\frac{l}{r_1} = 1 + e \cos(\theta_1 - \gamma) \dots [38]$$

These latter equations permit us to write the condition [34] in the form

$$\frac{E_1}{E_0} = \frac{l}{r_0} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_0) \cos\phi_0 + \left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_0} \right) \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_0) - \left(1 + \frac{l}{r_1} \right) \right] \sin\phi_0}{\frac{l}{r_1} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_0) \cos\phi_1 - \left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_1} \right) \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_0) - \left(1 + \frac{l}{r_0} \right) \right] \sin\phi_1}{\dots [39]}$$

If w_0 is the velocity of the rocket in its circular orbit about the planet of departure and relative to this body, its velocity at infinity on the hyperbolic orbit along which it leaves the planet is $w_0(e_0 - 1)^{1/2}$. This velocity must equal the vector difference between the velocity of the planet in its orbit at the time of departure and the velocity of the rocket relative to the Sun at the commencement of the transfer orbit. If λ_0 is the semi-latus rectum, ϵ_0 is the eccentricity, and γ_0 is the longitude of perihelion of the orbit of the planet of departure, it may be shown (5) that this leads to the conditions

$$w_0(e_0-1)^{1/2}\cos\phi_0=\mu^{1/2}(l^{1/2}-\lambda_0^{1/2})/r_0.....[40]$$

 $w_0(e_0-1)^{1/2}\sin\phi_0=$

$$\mu^{1/2} \left[\frac{e}{l^{1/2}} \sin \left(\theta_0 - \gamma \right) - \frac{\epsilon_0}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} \sin \left(\theta_0 - \gamma_0 \right) \right] . . [41]$$

Whence, eliminating ϕ_0 , we obtain

$$w_0^2 (e_0 - 1) = \mu \left[\frac{e^2}{l} + \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{\lambda_0} - \frac{2e\epsilon_0}{l^{1/2}\lambda_0^{1/2}} \cos(\gamma - \gamma_0) - \left(\frac{1}{l^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{1/2}} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2l^{1/2}\lambda_0^{1/2}}{r_0} \right) \right] . [42]$$

as explained in (5).

The following equations may be found similarly

$$w_1(e_1-1)^{1/2}\cos\phi_1=\mu^{1/2}(\lambda_1^{1/2}-l^{1/2})/r_1.....[43]$$

 $w_1(e_1-1)^{1/2}\sin\phi_1=$

$$\mu^{1/2} \left[\frac{\epsilon_1}{\lambda_1^{1/2}} \sin \left(\theta_1 - \gamma_1 \right) - \frac{e}{l^{1/2}} \sin \left(\theta_1 - \gamma \right) \right] . \quad [44]$$

$$w_1^2(e_1 - 1) = \mu \left[\frac{e^2}{l} + \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{\lambda_1} - \frac{2e\epsilon_1}{l^{1/2}\lambda_1^{1/2}} \cos(\gamma - \gamma_1) - \left(\frac{1}{l^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1/2}} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2l^{1/2}\lambda_1^{1/2}}{r_1} \right)_1 ... [45]$$

Eliminating ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 from the condition [39] by the use

TELY 1956

of Equations [40], [41], [43], and [44], we obtain it in the

$$\sqrt{\frac{w_0^2(e_0+1)}{w_1^2(e_1+1)}} = \frac{L_0}{L_1}.....[46]$$

where

$$L_{0} = \frac{l}{r_{0}^{2}} (l^{1/2} - \lambda_{0}^{1/2}) \sin (\theta_{1} - \theta_{0})$$

$$+ \left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{0}} \right) \cos (\theta_{1} - \theta_{0}) - \left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{1}} \right) \right] \times$$

$$\left[\frac{e}{l^{1/2}} \sin (\theta_{0} - \gamma) - \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\lambda_{0}^{1/2}} \sin (\theta_{0} - \gamma_{0}) \right]$$

$$L_{1} = \frac{l}{r_{1}^{2}} (\lambda_{1}^{1/2} - l^{1/2}) \sin (\theta_{1} - \theta_{0})$$

$$- \left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{1}} \right) \cos (\theta_{1} - \theta_{0}) - \left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{0}} \right) \right] \times$$

$$\left[\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{1/2}} \sin (\theta_{1} - \gamma_{1}) - \frac{e}{l^{1/2}} \sin (\theta_{1} - \gamma) \right]$$

Equations [37], [38], [42], [45], and [46] determine the five unknowns e_0 , e_1 , l, e, γ and hence the optimal mode of transfer. These equations are easily reduced to one condition, since Equations [42], [45] may be employed to eliminate eo and e1 from Equation [46] and then, solving Equations [37], [38] for l and e in terms of γ , these quantities also may be eliminated. We are left with a single equation for γ which must be solved numerically.



3 Transfer Between Circular Orbits

. The orbits of the principal bodies of the solar system being very nearly circular, the simplified forms taken by the equations of the last section when it is assumed that the orbits of the planets of departure and arrival are exactly circular are of some importance. In this case, $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1 = 0$ and λ_0 , λ_1 are the radii of the planetary orbits. Also $r_0 = \lambda_0$, $r_1 = \lambda_1$. Thus Equations [42], [45] can now be written

$$w_0^2 (e_0 - 1) = \mu \left(\frac{e^2 - 1}{l} + \frac{3}{r_0} - \frac{2l^{1/2}}{r_0^{3/2}} \right) \dots [47]$$

$$w_{1}^{2}(e_{1}-1) = \mu \left(\frac{e^{2}-1}{l} + \frac{3}{r_{1}} - \frac{2l^{1/2}}{r_{1}^{2/2}}\right) \dots [48]$$

Condition [46] is then equivalent to

$$\sqrt{\frac{e^2 - 1 + \frac{3l}{r_0} - 2\left(\frac{l}{r_0}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{2w_0^2}{\mu}l}{e^2 - 1 + \frac{3l}{r_1} - 2\left(\frac{l}{r_1}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{2w_1^2}{\mu}l}} = \frac{G_0}{G_1} \dots [49]$$

$$G_{0} = \left[\left(\frac{l}{r_{0}} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{l}{r_{0}} \right)^{3/2} \right] \sin \left(\theta_{1} - \theta_{0} \right) +$$

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{0}} \right) \cos \left(\theta_{1} - \theta_{0} \right) - 1 - \frac{l}{r_{1}} \right] e \sin \left(\theta_{0} - \gamma \right)$$

$$G_{1} = \left[\left(\frac{l}{r_{1}} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{l}{r_{1}} \right)^{3/2} \right] \sin \left(\theta_{0} - \theta_{1} \right) +$$

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{l}{r_{1}} \right) \cos \left(\theta_{0} - \theta_{1} \right) - 1 - \frac{l}{r_{0}} \right] e \sin \left(\theta_{1} - \gamma \right).$$

This latter equation, together with Equations [37] and [38], specify the optimal trajectory.

If the rocket is to be transferred from a circular orbit just outside the Earth's atmosphere into another close to the surface of Mars, the following values must be substituted into Equation [49]

$$r_0 = 1.497 \times 10^{13}$$
, $r_1 = 2.280 \times 10^{13}$
 $\mu = 1.33 \times 10^{26}$, $w_0 = 7.912 \times 10^5$, $w_1 = 3.557 \times 10^5$

the units being cgs. In this case, we have solved Equation [49] for γ when $(\theta_1 - \theta_0)$ takes the values shown in Table The corresponding values of l and e are also given, together with the net characteristic velocity V (km/sec) of the maneuver and the time of transit T (days). The amount $\Delta \omega$ by which the longitude of Mars exceeds that of the Earth at the instant of departure is shown in the last column.

When $\theta_1 - \theta_0 = 180$ deg, the optimal transfer orbit is tangential to both the planetary orbits. This is the wellknown "Hohmann" case and corresponds to an over-all optimum.

For values of $\Delta\omega$ other than those within the range of the table, the characteristic velocity is far too large to be practicable, or more than one complete circuit of the transfer orbit must be made so that the waiting time is greater than that which must elapse to bring Mars into a more favorable position. There will accordingly be periods during which transfer between the Earth and Mars is not practicable. This phenomenon has been discussed by Preston-Thomas (6).

References

Lawden, D. F., "Optimal Transfer Between Circular Orbits
 About Two Planets," Astronautica Acta, vol. 1, 1955, pp. 88-89.
 Lawden, D. F., "Correction of Interplanetary Orbits," Jour-

nal of the British Interplanetary Society, vol. 13, 1954, pp. 215-223

Lawden, D. F., "Minimal Rocket Trajectories," Journal of the American Rocket Society, vol. 23, 1953, pp. 360-367.

4 Lawden, D. F., "Fundamentals of Space Navigation," Journal of the British Interplanetary Society, vol. 13, 1954 pp. 87-

5 Lawden, D. F., "The Determination of Minimal Orbits," Journal of the British Interplanetary Society, vol. 11, 1952, pp.

6 Preston-Thomas, H., "Two Aspects of the Time Element in Interplanetary Flight," Proceedings of the Fifth International Astronautical Congress, Innsbruck, 1954, Springer-Verlag, Vienna. Daniel and

Some of the plants and the e of such plants as nuclear reaction lated on the basis and Teller. The dimensions of th mined largely by energy loss near power output and ing the deuteriu Greenstein that I the difficult prob ing and energy lo

GREAT maje A on the utiliza tion is related to t fission power plant conventional power uranium and thoriu long term prospect On the other hand ticularly the "burn very abundant fuel made to generate e world energy supply

But can the fusio plants? This quest his collaborators (Sänger's work will s because he has not is the purpose of th features of the therr problems in the tech be seen that such en proportions and are ever, the reward to cessful development as to make the care worthwhile research

2 Thern

Thermonuclear rea nuclei. Because of the approach of the r Coulomb repulsion b the relative kinetic e enough approach be o required kinetic energ as high as 108 K onl Maxwellian velocity d fore only a very small reaction. In other w This observation leads

Received Oct. 24, 19 Formerly: Robert Numbers in parentl

Table 1						
$\theta_1 - \theta_0$	γ	· l	ė	V	T	Δω
45°	-108.5°	1.259×10^{13}	0.5010	18.58	80	3.2°
90°	-62.5°	1.696×10^{13}	0.2889	9.61	136	18.7°
135°	-30°	1.786×10^{13}	0.2241	6.38	198	31.5°
180°	0°	1.807×10^{13}	0.2076	5.75	259	44.4°
225°	30°	1.786×10^{13}	0.2241	6.38	317	58.8°
270°	62.5°	1.696×10^{13}	0.2889	9.61	366	78.2°
315°	108.5°	1.259×10^{13}	0.5010	18.58	354	129.5°